

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,857	02/09/2001	Annie Audibert	612.39487X00	8221
20457	7590 10/09/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			SZEKELY, PETER A	
ARLINGION	N, VA 22209	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	4
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/779,857	AUDIBERT ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Peter Szekely	1714
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period fo	• •		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) More, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	September 2002 .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in th	e application	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.		
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)[_] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)[] 1	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
40\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amıner.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	prionty under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		=
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
ttachment(. ,	-
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/779,857

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: C6H4O3H (styrene sulfonate) is not hydrophobic. It is hydrophilic. Accordingly S1 and S2 are hydrophilic copolymers, not hydrophilic/hydrophobic copolymers. See page 3, lines 9 and 20, page 4, line 1 and page 6, lines 18-22. On page 3, lines 22-23, the particle size ranges of the silica and the microsilica overlap. Is sand having a particle size of 5-20 microns silica or microsilica?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Styrene sulfonate is hydrophilic not hydrophobic, making S1 and S2 purely hydrophilic. The disclosure of this polymer does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a slurry containing hydrophobic/hydrophilic copolymers, does not reasonably provide enablement for a

Application/Control Number: 09/779,857

Art Unit: 1714

slurry containing hydrophilic copolymers. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Acrylamide/styrene sulfonate copolymers and acrylic acid/styrene sulfonate copolymers are hydrophilic, not hydrophilic/hydrophobic.

- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Polymers have no molecular masses, only average molecular masses. The molecular mass can be weight average, number average, viscosity average, peak average or Z average molecular mass. These average molecular masses have completely different numerical values for the same polymer. One of ordinary skill in the art, would not know which average molecular mass is meant.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. In claims 8-10 the particle sizes of the silica and the microsilica overlap. Where does silica having a particle size of 5-20 microns belong? "Molecular mass", without specifying the proper average molecular mass (see paragraph #5), is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,857 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 10. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lynn 4,525,500, Koga et al. 4,662,942, Yamaguchi et al. 4,888,059 or Yamato et al. 5,707,445, in view of Audibert et al. 5,597,783 or Argillier et al. 5,637,556.
- 11. Anticipating the removal of the non-enabling and indefinite limitations, all rejections are maintained.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 09/03/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Slurry for a wellbore is the intended use, and as such it has no patentable significance. "Molecular mass" is not enabling, which means that it is a meaningless limitation. The molecular weight range of Yamato et al. overlaps that of applicants'. A reference cannot be restricted to its preferred range. The fine aggregate or sand disclosed by the references would have the same particle size as the silica of applicants' claims. Since the particle size of the microsilica overlaps that of the silica, that limitation is also met. The inclusion of Audibert et al. and Argillier et al. is proper, since they show thickening polymers, which are also hydrophobic/hydrophilic and they are used in conjunction with cellulosic thickeners, which are also filtrate reducing agents.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 09/779,857

Art Unit: 1714

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is 703-308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. October 8, 2002